Exhibit 1

Case Nos.

3:16-cv-02056-MPS

3:19-cv-00710-MPS

3:20-cv-00802-MPS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

The State of Connecticut, *et al.*	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	**3:16-cv-02056-MPS**
Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., *et al.*	
Defendants.	

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement is made and entered into this May 8, 2026 by and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General (as defined below) (collectively, the "Parties").

WHEREAS, the States are prosecuting claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al*, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.) (collectively, the "Actions" or "State Actions");

WHEREAS, the States allege in the Actions that Glenmark violated various antitrust and consumer protection laws by price-fixing and allocating markets for specified drugs;

WHEREAS, Glenmark has not conceded or admitted any liability in the Actions, and have asserted a number of defenses to the claims of the States;

WHEREAS, arm's-length settlement negotiations have taken place between the States and Glenmark, and the result is this Settlement Agreement, which embodies all the terms and conditions of the settlement between the States and Glenmark (the "Settlement Agreement");

WHEREAS, the States have concluded that it is in the best interests of the States to enter into this Settlement Agreement; and WHEREAS, Glenmark has concluded that it is in the best interests of Glenmark to enter into this Settlement Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exchange for the mutual obligations described below, the States and Glenmark hereby enter into this Settlement Agreement on the following terms and conditions:

I. DEFINITIONS

A. "Attorneys General" are defined as the Attorneys General of each state, commonwealth, district, and territory that asserts claims in the State Actions upon remand from the MDL, as well as those that are otherwise signatories to this Agreement.

B. "Code" is defined as the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

C. "Conduct" means any act or omission of the Glenmark Releasees, as defined in Paragraph L. of this Section, or of persons or entities alleged to be co-conspirators of the Glenmark Releasees concerning price fixing, market allocation, bid-rigging, and/or any other anticompetitive and/or unfair conduct based in whole or in part on the allegations in the Actions, in connection with the manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of Drugs at Issue or any other generic drug for which claims could have been asserted based on any facts alleged in any of the complaints filed or otherwise pending at any time in the Actions, including all formulations and

strengths of those drugs, and/or any overarching conspiracy claims involving any of the Drugs at Issue or based in whole or in part on facts alleged in the Actions.

D. "Eligible Consumers" are defined as natural persons for whom an Attorney General can seek in this Action , restitution, or disgorgement in a sovereign, quasi-sovereign, law enforcement equitable capacity, whether pursuant to the Attorney General's parens patriae authority or otherwise. For purposes of clarity, the term "Eligible Consumers" does not include any State Entity, any county, city, town, or other local entity, or any Corporate Entity. Nothing in this agreement shall require a natural person to release their claims, nor should this agreement be read to release the claims of a natural person, as a condition to be an Eligible Consumer.

E. "Corporate Entities" are defined as corporate (and other business) entities for whom the Attorneys General of Idaho and Washington have asserted an exclusive claim in the Actions, whether pursuant to the Attorneys General's parens patriae authority or otherwise.[1]

F. "Defendant" means any party named as a defendant in any of the Actions at any time up to and including the date of the court's Final Approval Order, as defined in Paragraph J of this Section.

G. "Drugs at Issue" means the drugs listed in Appendix A.

H. "Effective Date" shall be the later of the date on which the final signatory of this Agreement executes this Agreement or Glenmark elects to proceed with the Agreement pursuant to Section II.A.

I. "Enforcement Period" means a 7-year period from the execution of this Settlement Agreement, with an option for the States of an additional 3 years.

J. "Final Approval Order" means the order to be entered by the District Court in the Actions which gives final approval of this Settlement Agreement and releases all Released and Resolved Claims, as defined in Paragraph I.R of this Section. The Parties intend for the Final Approval Order to include provisions: (1) finding this Settlement Agreement (i) as having been entered into in good faith and (ii) as being fair, reasonable, and adequate, and directing its consummation pursuant to its terms, as to the State Releasors, as defined in Paragraph I.V of this Section; (2) finding that the notice given constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice and meets the requirements of due process and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; (3) incorporating the releases and resolutions set forth in Section IV, and forever barring the State Releasors from asserting any Released and Resolved Claims (as defined in Section IV); (4) retaining exclusive jurisdiction over the Settlement and this Agreement, including the administration and consummation of this Settlement; (5) directing that all claims by and on behalf of the State Releasors be dismissed with prejudice as to Glenmark Releasees only, except,

[1] States that have non-exclusive authority to assert claims for corporate or other business entities covenant not to pursue those claims, but do not release those claims. For the avoidance of doubt, Illinois covenants not to pursue claims it brought or could have brought on behalf of corporate (and other business) entities residing in Illinois.

to the extent such claims are on behalf of Eligible Consumers, such claims are dismissed without prejudice to Eligible Consumer's ability to pursue or litigate those claims whether individually or as a class, and, except as provided for herein, with prejudice and without costs or attorney's fees recoverable under 15 U.S.C. § 15(a); and (6) determining pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) that there is no just reason for delay and directing that the Final Approval Order in the Actions as to the Glenmark Releasees shall be final and immediately appealable.

K. "Generic Pharmaceutical Products" shall mean the generic version of any brand name drug, including all dosages, forms, and strengths of such drug, regardless of whether they are included in any of the complaints filed in the Actions or in the MDL.

L. "Glenmark Releasees" are defined as Glenmark and its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and their respective predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, as well as any current and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, stockholders, principals, managers, partners, members, agents, representatives, trustees, insurers, and owners thereof. For the avoidance of doubt, Glenmark Releasees include the following individual defendants named in the Actions: Mitchell Blashinsky, James Brown, and James Grauso.[2]

M. "Local Entity(ies)" means any county, city, town, or other local governmental entity.

N. "Notice Period" means the time period allotted for those Eligible Consumers and Corporate Entities on whose behalf the Attorneys General have asserted claims, and anyone else for whom notice is required to (i) object to this Settlement or (ii) file a timely and valid request for exclusion.

O. "Notice Plan" means the plan specifying the manner and content of notifying Eligible Consumers and eligible Corporate Entities of this Settlement Agreement.. The Parties contemplate that the Notice Plan will take ninety (90) days or such other time period set by the District Court. The Notice Plan will specify the way in which Eligible Consumers and eligible Corporate Entities are to be notified of the States' Actions and this Settlement Agreement. The Notice Plan will recognize a second notification to Eligible Consumers and/or eligible Corporate Entities, potentially following a later settlement, may be necessary prior to distribution of funds.

P. "Preliminary Approval Order" means an order to be entered by the District Court that preliminarily approves this Settlement Agreement. The Parties intend that the Preliminary Approval Order will include the following provisions: (1) preliminary approval of this Settlement Agreement as having been entered into in good faith and as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the extent federal court approval is required; ; and (2) approval of the Notice Plan.

Q. "Released and Resolved Claims" shall be defined as those claims released and

[2] The scope of this release does not include any current or former Glenmark employee named as an individual defendant prior to the Effective Date with respect to that individual's conduct while employed at any company other than Glenmark.

resolved as to the Glenmark Releasees as further below in Section IV. For the avoidance of doubt and as detailed in this agreement, Released and Resolved Claims does not include any claim held by an Eligible Consumer, either brought or not brought, by the Eligible Consumer in their individual capacity, or by a representative putative or certified class on behalf of Eligible Consumers under any state's law.

R. "States" means all states, commonwealths, districts, and territories that assert claims in the State Actions, including Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Northern Mariana Islands, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Virgin Islands, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming in their sovereign, proprietary, or any other capacities.[3]

S. "State Actions" or "Actions" means the following cases: *State of Connecticut et al. v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc. et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-3768 (E.D. Pa.); *State of Connecticut v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.*, No. 3:16-cv-2056 (D. Conn.); *State of Connecticut v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, No. 2:19-cv-02407 (E.D. Pa.); *State of Connecticut v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, No. 3:19-cv-710 (D. Conn.); *State of Connecticut, et al. v. Sandoz Inc. et al.*, No. 2:20-cv-03539 (E.D. Pa.); *State of Connecticut v. Sandoz, Inc.*, No. 3:20-cv-00802 (D. Conn) and any other action or proceeding asserting claims based on the Conduct, filed or otherwise pursued by or on behalf of any of the Attorneys General or any of the State Entities listed on Appendix B.

T. "State Entity" means any agency, bureau, board, commission, committee, department, division, or other organizational unit of any state government, except for those of any county, city, town, or other local entity or political subdivision, including but not limited to those identified in Appendix B.

U. "State Releasors" means the (a) Attorneys General and State Entities listed on Appendix B (which include, among other State Entities, each State's Medicaid agency); (b) State Entities that accept a distribution of settlement proceeds from the Attorneys General's settlements of the State Actions, (c) the Corporate Entities on whose behalf the Attorneys General assert claims, to the extent those Corporate Entities do not submit a timely and valid

[3] This includes claims assigned to the State of Florida, *see, e.g.*, *State of Connecticut, et al. v. Sandoz Inc. et al.*, Amended Complaint, No. 2:20-cv-03539, ECF No. 62, ¶ 1860 (noting that certain claims of Minnesota Multistate Contracting Alliance for Pharmacy ("MMCAP") and/or Cardinal Health, Inc. have been assigned to the state of Florida), claims assigned to the State of California, *see id.* ¶ 2117 (noting that certain "vendors and intermediaries" assigned claims to the state of California), and claims assigned to the State of New York, *see, e.g., State of Connecticut, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, Amended Complaint, No. 2:19-cv-02407, ECF No. 106, ¶ 1586 (noting that certain claims of MMCAP and/or Cardinal Health, Inc. have been assigned to the state of New York).

request for exclusion from the settlement under this Agreement and the Actions.

II. CONDITION TO EFFECTIVENESS OF AGREEMENT

A. The Attorneys General will have 60 calendar days from the date of Glenmark's signature to execute this Agreement, or at least until July 7, 2026. If all Attorneys General have not executed this Agreement within 60 calendar days, Glenmark shall have 30 calendar days to determine, in its sole discretion, whether to extend the Attorneys General's time to execute this agreement for an additional time period, whether sufficient Attorneys General have executed this agreement to proceed with this Agreement, or whether it does not wish to proceed. Glenmark shall provide its determination in writing to the Attorneys General. If Glenmark determines to proceed, the Attorneys General will promptly move for preliminary approval as set forth in Paragraph V.A., and the first payment detailed in Section III will be owed to the Attorneys General within 30 days of preliminary approval by the Court. Should all Attorneys General execute this agreement prior to July 7, 2026, then the Glenmark notice provisions of this Paragraph shall not apply, the Attorneys General will move for preliminary approval no earlier than July 7, 2026 and the first payment detailed in Section III will be owed to the Attorneys General within 30 days of preliminary approval.

B. If Glenmark determines to proceed with this agreement despite the fact that certain Attorneys General have declined to proceed with the Agreement, Glenmark shall be entitled to a reduction in the Settlement Payment by an amount listed for each of non-settling States listed in Appendix D. If Glenmark determines not to proceed, this Agreement will have no further effect.

III. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT AND USE OF THAT PAYMENT

A. **Settlement Payment** Glenmark shall pay to the States a total of $29,628,000.00 (the "Settlement Payment"), inclusive of interest at a rate of 8.0 percent per annum applied to $25,000,000 of the principal, according to the following payment schedule:

Date	Payment	Principal	Interest	*Balance Remaining*	*Corporate Entity Payment*
30 days of preliminary approval	$4,387,500	$4,250,000	-	$20,750,500	$137,500
7/1/2027	$6,422,500	$4,625,000	$1,660,000	$16,125,000	$137,500
7/1/2028	$5,915,000	$4,625,000	$1,290,000	$11,500,000	
7/1/2029	$6,382,500	$5,462,500	$920,000	$6,037,500	

Date	Payment	Principal	Interest	*Balance Remaining*	*Corporate Entity Payment*
7/1/2030	$6,520,500	$6,037,500	$483,000		

The first installment Settlement Payment according to the above schedule shall be held in escrow by the States pending final approval of the Settlement Agreement. Each installment will be paid pursuant to the written payment instructions provided by the Attorneys General.

Glenmark warrants that, as of the Effective Date of this Agreement, it is not insolvent, nor will its Settlement or Corporate Entity Payments render it insolvent within the meaning of or for the purpose of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In the event that Glenmark fails to pay the Settlement Payment as provided in the payment schedule set forth above, Glenmark shall be in default of Glenmark's payment obligations ("Default"). The States will provide a written Notice of Default, and Glenmark shall have an opportunity to cure such Default within seven (7) calendar days from the date of receipt of the Notice of Default by making the payment due under the payment schedule and paying any additional interest accruing under the Settlement Agreement up to the date of payment. Notice of Default will be delivered to Glenmark according to the Notice provisions set forth below. If Glenmark fails to cure the Default within seven (7) calendar days of receiving the Notice of Default and in the absence of an agreement with the States to a modified payment schedule ("Uncured Default"), the remaining unpaid balance of the Settlement Amount shall become immediately due and payable, and interest on the remaining unpaid balance shall thereafter accrue at the rate of 12% per annum, compounded daily from the date of Default, on the remaining unpaid total (principal and interest balance).

In the event of Uncured Default, Glenmark agrees that the States, at their sole discretion, may (i) retain any payments previously made, rescind this Agreement or bring any civil and/or administrative claim, action, or proceeding against Glenmark for the claims that would otherwise be covered by the releases provided herein, with any recovery reduced by the amount of any payments previously made by Glenmark to the States under this Agreement; (ii) take any action to enforce this Agreement in a new action; or (iii) exercise any other right granted by law, or under the terms of this Agreement, or recognizable at common law or in equity. . The States shall be entitled to any other rights granted by law or in equity by reason of Default, including referral of this matter for private collection. In the event that the States opt to rescind this Agreement pursuant to this paragraph, Glenmark waives and agrees not to plead, argue, or otherwise raise any defenses of statute of limitations, laches, estoppel or similar theories, to any civil or administrative claims that are (i) filed by the States against Glenmark within 120 days of written notification that this Agreement has been rescinded, and (ii) relate to the Conduct, except to the extent these defenses were available as of the date of this Agreement. Glenmark agrees not to contest any offset, recoupment, confession or stipulation of judgment filed in a court of competent jurisdiction by an Attorney General signatory to this agreement, and /or collection action undertaken by the States pursuant to this paragraph, either administratively or in any state or federal court, except on the grounds of actual payment to the States.

B. **Identification of Assets and Liens.** Upon written request by the Attorneys General, Glenmark shall, within sixty (60) days of such a request, provide the Attorneys General with a confidential, comprehensive written statement that consists of identification of material assets and material liens ("Asset Information") and shall respond to reasonable questions about the Asset Information. In the event that there is a material change in the Asset Information following the disclosure of the Asset Information, Glenmark shall, within thirty (30) days after such material change, provide the Attorneys General with an updated statement of Asset Information. Glenmark shall also make commercially reasonable efforts to notify the Attorneys General 90 days prior to any filing for bankruptcy and such notice may be used by the Attorneys General to trigger the acceleration of all remaining payments due under this Settlement Agreement, the confession of judgment, and the entry of liens. The provision of any information pursuant to this Paragraph shall be treated as Highly Confidential under the applicable Protective Orders.

C. **Settlement Payment Allocation** Seventy percent (70%) of each installment of the Settlement Payment will constitute restitution within the meaning of Section 162(f)(2) of the Code and 26 C.F.R. § 1.162-21(e)(4)(i)). No part of the Settlement Payment that constitutes restitution is paid (a) in respect of any claim for the trebling of damages (as opposed to actual damages), or (b) for or in lieu of any fine, penalty, forfeiture, or punitive damages, and no part of the amount specified as restitution in the preceding sentence is paid to reimburse any Attorney General, any State Entity, or any other government or governmental entity for investigation or litigation costs. The party or parties required to report all or any portion of the Settlement Payment under Section 6050X of the Code shall report no less than 70% of such portion as restitution. Except as set forth in this Paragraph, the Attorneys General take no position on tax treatment of the payments under the Settlement.

The Settlement Payment shall be allocated among the State Entities that are State Releasors as determined by the Attorneys General. The amounts allocated to the State Entities that are State Releasors shall be received by the respective State Attorneys General Offices to be allocated for any use permitted under state law at the sole discretion of the State's Attorney General.

The States will hold the remaining thirty percent (30%) of each installment of the Settlement Payment in escrow and for use in paying for the expenses identified in Section II C and, upon final approval of this Agreement, for costs of litigating the States' claims both collectively or individually, subject to approval of the Court. To the extent that monies in the cost account are not used to offset costs of States litigating in the State Actions, any remaining funds may be used for any of the following: (1) Deposit into a state antitrust or consumer protection account (e.g., revolving account, trust account) for use in accordance with the laws governing the account; (2) Deposit into a fund exclusively dedicated to assisting any state to defray the costs of experts, economists and consultants in multistate antitrust investigations and litigations, including healthcare related investigations and litigation; (3) Antitrust or consumer protection enforcement, including healthcare-related enforcement, by an individual State or

multiple States; or (4) For any other use permitted by state law at the sole discretion of that State's Attorney General.

D. The Parties agree and understand that any distribution plan is to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the resolution set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and any order or proceedings relating to any distribution plan shall not operate to terminate or cancel the Settlement Agreement or affect the finality of the Final Approval Order, or any other orders entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. If the Court denies final approval of the Settlement Agreement, the full amount shall be refunded to Defendants within five (5) business days, less any amounts expended for Settlement Administration Costs not to exceed a total of $250,000.

E. The Parties further agree and understand that Glenmark shall have no obligation to make any other payments of any kind in connection with this Agreement. Glenmark also shall have no obligations with respect to the allocation or distribution of any of the Settlement Funds. The State Releasors shall have no other recovery of any kind from the Glenmark Releasees, other than from the Settlement Payment, including for attorneys' fees, costs, service awards, damages, penalties, or injunctive or other relief of any kind.

F. **Corporate Entity Payments.** Separate from the settlement allocation described in Paragraph C of this Section and in consideration for the release of Corporate Entity claims by Idaho and Washington, Glenmark agrees to pay $275,000 over 2 payments according to the payment schedule in the chart found in this section. These payments will be distributed to Idaho and Washington. These payments will not be subject to the interest payments described in this section. These payments will constitute restitution within the meaning of Section 162(f)(2) of the Code and 26 C.F.R. § 1.162-21(e)(4)(i)). No part of the Corporate Entity Payments is paid (a) in respect of any claim for the trebling of damages (as opposed to actual damages), or (b) for or in lieu of any fine, penalty, forfeiture, or punitive damages, and no part of the amount specified as restitution in the preceding sentence is paid to reimburse any Attorney General, any State Entity, or any other government or governmental entity for investigation or litigation costs. The party or parties required to report the Corporate Entity Payment under Section 6050X of the Code shall report such payments as restitution. Except as set forth in this Paragraph, the Attorneys General take no position on tax treatment of the payments under the Settlement.

IV. RELEASED AND RESOLVED CLAIMS

A. The States release the Glenmark Releasees from all claims that the States brought or could have brought against the Glenmark Releasees (except on behalf of Local Entities and on behalf of Eligible Consumers) in the Actions brought by States relating to the drugs specified in the Actions based on the Conduct as alleged, namely, antitrust, consumer protection, fraud or false claims act, "overarching conspiracy," unjust enrichment and disgorgement claims. The States covenant not to sue the Glenmark Releasees for all claims that the States brought or could have brought against other defendants for any other drug for which the States assert a claim in any of the States' Actions based on the Conduct alleged in the States' Actions. Released Claims include, but are not limited to, claims arising out of the Conduct under (1) federal or state

antitrust laws, (2) unfair competition or consumer protection laws, (3) any civil or administrative monetary cause of action (including for civil damages and/or civil fines or penalties), (4) any remedies for any claims submitted or caused to be submitted to the State's Medicaid program, including under the False Claims Act (codified at 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) or any State's counterpart to the federal False Claims Act, and (5) any other statute or common or equitable law. The claims released and the claims on which the States covenant not to sue are collectively referred to as "Released and Resolved Claims."

B. As permitted by law, each State fully and finally releases and forever discharges the Glenmark Releasees from all Released and Resolved Claims. Each State hereby covenants and agrees that it shall not sue or otherwise seek to establish or impose liability, in any capacity and on behalf of itself or any other person or entity or class thereof, against the Glenmark Releasees based, in whole or in part, on any of the Released and Resolved Claims.

C. Notwithstanding any term in this Settlement Agreement, Released and Resolved Claims specifically do not include claims unrelated to the alleged Conduct, including:

1. Any civil or administrative liability under state revenue codes;

2. Any civil or administrative liability related to a State's Medicaid program under any statute, regulation, or rule for any conduct unrelated to the Conduct alleged in the States' complaints, including, but not limited to, state or federal false claims act, anti-kickback or off-label marketing violations for the specified drugs;

3. Exclusion from a State's Medicaid program as prescribed by federal or state law

4. Any criminal liability unrelated to alleged antitrust or related violations;

5. Any breach of contract or any liability for expressed or implied warranty claims or other liability for defective or deficient products and services provided by Defendants;

6. Any liability for unfair or deceptive representations made in the marketing or advertising or for off-label marketing claims for the specified drugs to the extent that such claims are not predicated on the Conduct alleged in the Action;

7. Any securities-based liability;

8. Any claim by a corporate (and other business) entities for whom the Attorney General of Illinois has asserted a claim in the Actions; and

9. Any claim arising from obligations under this Agreement.

D. In addition, the State Releasors, with the exception of Arizona and New Mexico, expressly waive, release, and forever discharge any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

> A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

The State Releasors further expressly waive any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code.

E. The Parties may discover facts other than or different from what the Party believes to be true with respect to price-fixing, market allocation, or bid-rigging within the time periods mentioned in the States' complaints filed by the States in the States' Actions in the MDL concerning the Released and Resolved Claims, but each Party expressly waives and fully, finally and forever settles, releases, resolves, and discharges, upon this Settlement Agreement becoming final, any suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, contingent or non-contingent claim that would otherwise fall within the definition of Released and Resolved Claims, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. This provision shall not in any way expand the scope of the Released and Resolved Claims and shall not convert what is a limited release into a general release.

F. **Preservation of Claims against Other Defendants**. Glenmark's sales of drugs specified in the State Actions shall, to the extent permitted or authorized by law, remain in the State Actions against other defendants in the Action as a potential basis for restitution and other monetary claims and shall be asserted as a part of any joint and several liability claims against other defendants in the State Actions or against other persons other than the Glenmark Releasees.

G. **Preservation of Claims Held by Eligible Consumers**. This Agreement shall not preclude any claim other than the Released Claims and will not bar any claim or claims brought by any other person or party, including without limitation all claims asserted in any private suit or class action now pending against the Defendant, including the Eligible Consumers' claims, which have been expressly reserved and not released.

H. **Resolution of State Claims Brought on Behalf of Eligible Consumers**. To the extent the States brought claims on behalf of eligible consumers, the States stipulate they will not continue, commence, or otherwise pursue litigation or any other proceedings against the Released Parties asserting or seeking remedies based on the Released Claims on behalf of Eligible Consumers. The States retain the right to reinstate these claims in bankruptcy if Defendant does not pay the full Settlement Payment as required under this agreement and Defendant files for bankruptcy.

V. PRELIMINARY AND FINAL COURT APPROVAL

A. The Attorneys General shall promptly (and in no event more than thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date) file a motion(s) for a Preliminary Approval Order,

including their respective proposed notice and notice plan to inform those Consumers and Corporate Entities on whose behalf the Attorneys General have asserted claims, and anyone else for whom notice is required, of their right (i) to object to this Agreement or (ii) to file a timely and valid request for exclusion.

B. In the event that the court fails to give preliminary approval to this Agreement, then the Parties shall in good faith seek to agree on revisions to this Agreement that would remedy any issues preventing preliminary approval while retaining the spirit of the Agreement. If they are unable to agree on such revisions despite their good faith efforts, they shall each have the option to rescind this Agreement.

C. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the Preliminary Approval Order and the court's approval of the allocation plans, notice(s) and notice plan(s) submitted by the Attorneys General to the court, or such other time as directed by the court, the Attorneys General shall implement their notice plan(s), providing those Eligible Consumers and Corporate Entities for whom the Attorneys General have asserted claims, and anyone else for whom notice is required notice of their rights (i) to object to this Agreement or (ii) to file a timely and valid request for exclusion.

D. Eligible Consumers and Corporate Entities for whom the Attorneys General have asserted claims shall be given notice as required by applicable law. Costs for the notice will be paid from the State Escrow, and shall be limited to $500,000.

E. Within thirty (30) calendar days following the conclusion of the Notice Period or as otherwise agreed by the Parties or directed by the court(s), the Attorneys General shall file with the court a Motion for a Final Approval Order. At least seven (7) calendar days prior to filing their Motion for a Final Approval Order, the Attorneys General shall provide a copy of such motion (including all exhibits and attachments to such motion) to Glenmark for review.

VI. EXCLUSIONS

A. Subject to court approval, any Eligible Consumer or Corporate Entity may seek to be excluded from the Settlement by submitting a valid and timely request for exclusion. The Attorneys General, State Entities identified on Appendix B, and other State Entities that accept a distribution of settlement proceeds from the Attorneys General's settlements in the Actions, are bound by this Agreement upon execution and have no right to seek exclusion. Any Eligible Consumer or Corporate Entity that submits a valid and timely request for exclusion will not be eligible to receive a distribution of any portion of the Settlement Payment and will have no rights with respect to the Settlement. Glenmark reserves all legal rights and defenses as to all such persons or entities that submit a valid and timely request for exclusion, and nothing in this Agreement shall be used against Glenmark in any proceeding involving such persons or entities.

B. Subject to court approval, in any written request for exclusion from the Settlement, the Eligible Consumer or Corporate Entity seeking exclusion must state his, her, or its full name, address, telephone number and e-mail address and include a statement that he, she, or it wishes to be excluded from the Settlement.

C. Subject to court approval, a request for exclusion that does not comply with all of the provisions set forth in the applicable notice will be invalid, and the person or entity serving such an invalid request shall be bound by this Agreement.

D. The Attorneys General shall, within ten (10) calendar days of the deadline for submitting a request for exclusion (the "Opt-Out Deadline"), provide Glenmark with a list of, and copies of, all requests for exclusion. The Attorneys General shall file with their Motion(s) for Final Approval a list of all persons and entities that timely and validly requested exclusion.

E. Any of the Parties may dispute an exclusion request, in which case they shall, if possible, seek to resolve the disputed exclusion request by agreement within thirty (30) calendar days of the Opt-Out Deadline. If necessary, the Parties will seek court approval of any such resolutions. If the Parties are unable to resolve any such disputes, the Parties will submit such unresolved disputes to the court for decision.

VII. LEGAL COMPLIANCE & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

A. Glenmark covenants, that, during the Enforcement Period, it, along with its current directors, officers, and employees shall not, directly or indirectly, maintain, solicit, suggest, advocate, discuss or carry out any unlawful agreement with any actual or potential competitor in the generic pharmaceutical industry to: (a) fix prices for generic pharmaceuticals; (b) submit courtesy, cover, or otherwise non-competitive, bids or proposals for the supply, distribution or sale of generic pharmaceuticals; (c) refrain from bidding on, or submitting proposals for, the supply, distribution, or sale of generic pharmaceuticals; or (d) allocate customers for the sale of generic pharmaceuticals.

B. Glenmark further covenants that it shall continue to maintain, during the Enforcement Period, its existing antitrust compliance program, as described to the Attorneys General prior to entering into this Agreement. As part of its compliance program, Glenmark will continue to conduct during the Enforcement Period, periodic antitrust training sessions for its employees at least once per year. Such antitrust training has been and will continue to be delivered by an attorney with relevant experience in the field of antitrust law, and Glenmark has and will continue to monitor attendance to ensure that all employees receive the training.

C. Glenmark further covenants that it will continue to maintain, during the Enforcement Period, a Chief Compliance Officer, who serves to enforce Glenmark's antitrust compliance program and monitor Glenmark's employees to ensure that there are no further violations of the antitrust laws during the Enforcement Period.

D. If Glenmark's General Counsel or Chief Compliance Officer determines a potential violation of the covenants in this Section of this Agreement has occurred, Glenmark shall take appropriate action to: (a) immediately terminate or modify Glenmark's conduct to assure continued compliance with this Settlement Agreement (if necessary); and (b) within thirty (30) business days of such determination, provide to the designated representative of the Attorneys General, in writing, a description of the actual or potential violation of this Settlement

Agreement and the corrective actions taken (if any).

The Parties agree that the covenants above are material to and shall be enforceable upon entry of the Settlement Agreement. The covenants shall further be implemented as part of the District Court's approval of the Settlement Agreement and shall be fully enforceable thereafter as part of the District Court's approval orders for the remaining duration of these covenants. The Parties also specifically agree that the States may file a new action based on a violation of these covenants.

VIII. DISCOVERY, AUTHENTICATION, AND COOPERATION

A. As of the Effective Date, continuing unless this Agreement is terminated as provided herein, the Attorneys General will not serve any discovery requests on Glenmark, take depositions of Glenmark, file any motions against Glenmark, or take any other adverse action against Glenmark in the Actions or any related litigation except to enforce the terms of this Agreement. Likewise, as of the Effective Date, continuing unless this Agreement is terminated as provided herein, Glenmark shall not serve any discovery requests on the Attorneys General, take depositions of the Attorneys General, file any motions against the Attorneys General, or take any other adverse action against the Attorneys General in the Actions or any related litigation except to enforce the terms of this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, the Attorneys General may continue to attend depositions of current and former Glenmark employees and may question those employees as it relates to the prosecution of claims against the non-Glenmark Defendants. Counsel for Glenmark may likewise continue to attend depositions of current and former employees of the Attorneys General, and of any other individuals represented by the Attorneys General, and may question those individuals as it relates to Glenmark's defense of claims brought by plaintiffs in the MDL or other litigation involving the same alleged Conduct as the State Actions.

B. The Attorneys General shall continue to have the same rights that they currently have to receive discovery provided by Glenmark to parties in the MDL pursuant to the protective order governing the use of documents and other information produced in the MDL, as well as the orders in the State Actions. In addition, Glenmark will use reasonable efforts to provide information necessary to authenticate and admit up to 150 documents produced by Glenmark, by affidavit if permitted by the court and by witness testimony at trial if necessary.

C. Similarly, Glenmark shall continue to have the same rights that it currently has to receive discovery provided by the Attorneys General to other parties in the State Actions pursuant to the applicable protectives order governing the use of documents and other information. In addition, the Attorneys General shall use reasonable efforts to provide information necessary to authenticate up to 150 documents produced by the Attorneys General, by affidavit if permitted by the court and by witness testimony at trial if necessary.

D. In addition to the above, Glenmark agrees to provide cooperation to the Attorneys General as set forth in Appendix C. All such cooperation shall be treated by the Attorneys General as "highly confidential" and not disclosed to any other party in the Actions, the MDL, or

any related proceedings.

E. Glenmark will also in good faith consider reasonable requests from the Attorneys General for additional assistance that does not impose an undue burden on Glenmark. The Attorneys General will likewise in good faith consider reasonable requests from Glenmark for additional assistance that does not impose an undue burden on Glenmark.

F. Glenmark shall not be required to produce any documents or otherwise disclose information protected by the work product doctrine, attorney-client privilege, common-interest privilege, joint-defense privilege, or any other applicable doctrine or privilege; or disclosure of which is prohibited by any relevant law (including foreign laws), government entities, or court order.

IX. QUALIFIED SETTLEMENT FUND

A. The "State Escrow" (a "Settlement Fund") will be established by order of the District Court at Huntington Bank with such bank serving as escrow agent ("Escrow Agent") subject to one or more escrow agreements mutually acceptable to the Parties. Each Settlement Fund is established to resolve and satisfy one or more claims described in the preamble to this Settlement Agreement, and each shall be subject to the District Court's continuing supervision and control. In addition, the Attorneys General shall make such elections as necessary or advisable to carry out the provisions of this Section. Such elections shall be made in compliance with the procedures and requirements contained in any applicable regulations.

B. The Parties intend that the Settlement Fund shall be a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1, shall act in a manner consistent with the treatment of the Settlement Fund as such a qualified settlement fund, and shall not take a position in any filing or before any tax authority that is inconsistent with such treatment. All provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with the Settlement Fund being a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1. The administrators for the State Escrow shall be California, New York and Ohio (each, in such capacity, an "Administrator"). The Administrator shall cause the timely and proper filing of all informational and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the applicable Settlement Fund (including without limitation the returns described in Treasury Regulation §§ 1.468B-2(k)(1) and (l)(2)). The Administrator shall make a "relation-back election" (as defined in Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1(j)), if available, to permit the Settlement Fund to be treated as a qualified settlement fund from the earliest permitted date. It shall be the responsibility of the Administrator to cause the timely and proper preparation and delivery of the necessary documentation with respect to the Settlement Fund for signature by all necessary parties, and thereafter to cause the appropriate filing to occur.

C. The Escrow Agent shall cause the Settlement Fund to be invested in short-term instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government or fully insured in writing by the United States Government, or money market funds rated Aaa and AAA, respectively, by Moody's Investor Services and Standard and Poor's, invested substantially in

such instruments, and shall reinvest any income from these instruments and the proceeds of these instruments as they mature in similar instruments at their then current market rates. The Released Parties shall bear no risk related to the Settlement Fund. The Settlement Fund shall be deemed and considered to be in custodia legis of the District Court and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the District Court, until such time as the funds therein shall be distributed pursuant to this Settlement Agreement or further order(s) of the District Court.

D. All (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest, or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned on a Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon any Released Party with respect to income earned on a Settlement Fund for any period during which such Settlement Fund does not qualify as a qualified settlement fund for federal or state income tax purposes ("Taxes"); and (ii) expenses and costs incurred in connection with the operation and implementation of a Settlement Fund (including expenses of tax attorneys and/or accountants and mailing and distribution costs and expenses relating to filing (or failing to file) tax returns with respect to the Settlement Fund ("Tax Expenses")), shall be paid out of such Settlement Fund.

E. Neither Glenmark nor any Glenmark Releasee nor their respective counsel shall have any liability or responsibility with respect to a Settlement Fund for the Taxes or the Tax Expenses or the filing of any tax returns or other documents with the Internal Revenue Service or any other taxing authority. The Escrow Agent and Attorneys General respectively shall indemnify and hold the Released Parties harmless for Taxes and Tax Expenses (including taxes payable by reason of such indemnification). Further, Taxes and Tax Expenses shall be treated as, and considered to be, a cost of administration of the Settlement Fund and shall be timely paid by the Administrator out of the Settlement Fund without prior order from the District Court and the Administrator shall be obliged (notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary) to withhold from distribution to any claimants authorized by the District Court any funds necessary to pay such amounts including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes and Tax Expenses (as well as any amounts that may be required to be withheld under Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-2(l)(2)). No Releasee shall be responsible or have any liability therefore or for any reporting requirements that may relate thereto. The Parties agree to cooperate with each other and their tax attorneys and accountants to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this Section.

X. THE SETTLEMENT FUNDS

A. State Releasors shall look solely to the Settlement Funds for settlement and satisfaction against the Glenmark Releasees of all Released and Resolved Claims and shall have no other recovery against Glenmark or any of the Glenmark Releasees for any Released Claims.

B. After this Agreement receives Final Court Approval, and at a time to be determined the Attorneys General, respectively, the Settlement Funds shall be distributed in accordance with the plans to be submitted, subject to approval by the court. In no event shall Glenmark or any Glenmark Releasee have any responsibility, financial obligation, or liability whatsoever with respect to the investment or distribution of the Settlement Funds, or the

administration of the Settlement Funds, including the costs and expenses of such investment, distribution and administration.

XI. RESCISSION IF AGREEMENT IS NOT APPROVED OR FINAL JUDGMENT IS NOT ENTERED

A. In the event that the court fails to grant Final Court Approval to this Agreement, then the Parties shall in good faith seek to agree on revisions to this Agreement that would remedy any issues preventing Final Court Approval while retaining the spirit of the Agreement. If they are unable to come to agreement on such revisions, despite their good faith efforts, they shall each have the option to rescind this Agreement.

B. Written notice of the exercise of any right to rescind provided for under this Section XI shall be made according to the terms herein.

C. In the event that this Agreement does not receive Final Court Approval, or this Agreement otherwise is terminated or rescinded by any party under any provision herein, then: (i) this Agreement shall be of no force or effect, except as expressly provided in Paragraphs XI.A. and XI.B. or other portions of this Agreement; (ii) the Settlement Funds (with any interest accrued thereon) shall be returned forthwith to Glenmark less only disbursements made in accordance with Section IX and Paragraph III.C. of this Agreement; and (iii) Glenmark shall be entitled to any tax refunds owing to the Settlement Funds. At the request of Glenmark, and at Glenmark's expense, the Attorneys General shall cause to be filed claims for any tax refunds owed to the Settlement Funds and pay the proceeds, after deduction of any fees and expenses incurred with filing such claims for tax refunds, to Glenmark. All expressly reserve all of their rights, claims and defenses if this Agreement does not receive Final Court Approval or is otherwise terminated or rescinded.

D. Further, and in any event, Glenmark and the Attorneys General agree that this Agreement, whether or not it receives Final Court Approval or is otherwise terminated or rescinded by any Party under any provision herein, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of (i) any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever by Glenmark or any other Glenmark Releasees, or (ii) the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in the Actions or any other pleading filed in the MDL or any court to which any State Actions have been or may be remanded. Evidence derived from this Agreement, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it shall not be discoverable or used in any way, whether in the Actions or in any other action or proceeding, against Glenmark or other Glenmark Releasees (except to enforce this Agreement).

XII. NOTICE

A. Notice to Glenmark pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be sent by registered United States mail, return receipt requested, and electronic mail to:

Dimitra Doufekias
Rob Manoso
Morrison Foerster LLP
2100 L Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20037
202-887-1553
ddoufekias@mofo.com
rmanoso@mofo.com

B. Notice to Attorneys General pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be sent by registered United States mail return receipt requested and electronic mail, including to Lead Counsel:

Christopher Teters
Assistant Attorney General, Public Protection Division
Office of Kansas
Attorney General Kris Kobach
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor
Topeka, Kansas 66612
(785) 296-3751
chris.teters@ag.ks.gov

Nicole Demers
Deputy Associate Attorney General
Office of the Connecticut Attorney General
165 Capitol Ave.,
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5030
nicole.demers@ct.gov

XIII. MISCELLANEOUS

A. This Agreement shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission of liability or of any violation of any statute or law or of any wrongdoing by the Glenmark Releasees. Nor shall this Agreement be deemed as an admission by the Glenmark Releasees of any of the allegations or claims by the Attorneys General. Nor shall the Agreement be used as an admission as to the strength or weakness of any party's claims or defenses. This Agreement may not be used by the Attorneys General or anyone else in any pending or future civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding against the Glenmark Releasees, except in a proceeding or action to enforce this Agreement.

B. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which will be deemed an original, but which together will constitute one and the same instrument, and a facsimile signature or PDF signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Agreement. In addition, the state Medicaid agencies listed on Appendix B will sign on a separate

form, unless otherwise agreed-to in writing by Glenmark.

C. This Agreement, together with the confidential understandings identified herein, contains the entire Agreement between the parties, and no other understandings or agreements, verbal or otherwise, exist between the parties, except as set forth or referred to herein.


D. This Agreement (other than the Cooperation Agreement, which is governed by its own terms) may not be modified, changed, cancelled, rescinded, amended, or varied (except under the specific termination provisions set forth herein), nor may any or all of its terms be waived, except by a writing signed by all of the parties.

E. None of the parties to this Agreement shall be considered to be the drafter of this Agreement or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Agreement.

F. Where this Agreement requires either party to provide notice or any other communication or document to the other, such notice shall be in writing and shall be provided as set forth in Section XII.

G. This Agreement shall be governed by, construed by, and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 52-572h, barring contribution against a settling defendant. In addition, the law of each state (including, e.g., Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 877 and N.Y. Gen. Oblig. Law § 15-108) continues to apply with respect to all settlements entered into and judgments entered in connection with claims related to the Conduct and based on that state's law. Consistent with such law, this Agreement is conditioned upon the court's finding that it was entered into in good faith. In addition, Glenmark has entered into supplemental agreements with certain States (Delaware, Georgia, Idaho, Maryland, Mississippi, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, and South Dakota) that provide additional obligations concerning claims for contribution. The parties agree that venue for any and all matters or disputes arising out of this Agreement shall lie in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut.

H. Each party affirms that this Agreement has been executed by its authorized representative, who is acting within his or her capacity and authority and that by his or her signature this representative is binding the Party on behalf of whom the Agreement is executed to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.



Dimitra Doufekias
Morrison & Foerster LLP
2100 L Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 887-1553
ddoufekias@mofo.com
Attorney for Defendant Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc. USA

Dated: May 22, 2026

CORI MILLS
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Jeff Pickett

By: Jeff Pickett
Senior Assistant Attorney General
AK Bar No. 9906022
State of Alaska, Department of Law
1031 W. 4th Ave., Suite 200
Anchorage, AK 99501
Tel: (907) 269-5275
jeff.pickett@alaska.gov

Attorney for State of Alaska

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR ALASKA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Alaska (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/17/2026 Signature: Heather N Nobrega


Name: Heather Nobrega

Position/Title: Assistant Attorney General

Director, Alaska Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 03/02/2026

Signature: 

Name: Emily Ricci

Position/Title: Deputy Commissioner/Medicaid Director

KRISTIN K. MAYES
Attorney General

By: /s/ Robert A. Bernheim
Robert A. Bernheim
Unit Chief Counsel, Antitrust & Privacy Unit
Office of the Arizona Attorney General
400 W. Congress, Ste. S-315
Tucson, AZ 85701
Telephone: (520) 628-6507
Facsimile: (602) 542-4377

Counsel for State of Arizona

Dated: June 4, 2026

/s/ Emilio Varanini
Emilio Varanini
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General California
455 Golden Gate, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 510-3541
E-mail: Emilio.Varanini@doj.ca.gov

Attorney for the State of California

Dated: June 29, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR CALIFORNIA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of California (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: Feb.24, 2026



Randal L. Glaser
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Division of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse
California Department of Justice

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: _____ Signature: _____

Name: _____

Position/Title: _____

_____

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO
PHILIP J. WEISER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Robin Alexander
Robin Alexander
Assistant Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: (720) 508-6000
Robin.Alexander@coag.gov

Counsel for the Plaintiff State of Colorado

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR COLORADO

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Colorado (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 03/05/2026

Signature: Rebecca Weber Digitally signed by Rebecca Weber Date: 2026.03.05 13:14:47 -07'00'

Name: Rebecca Weber

Position/Title: First Assistant Attorney General/Director

Colorado Medicaid Fraud, Abuse & Neglect Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 03/02/2026

Signature: KBim

Name: Kim Bimestefer

Position/Title: Executive Director

/s/ Amy Taylor
Amy Taylor (phv209197)
Assistant Attorney General
Nicole Demers
Deputy Associate Attorney General
Federal Bar No. ct27223
Connecticut Office of the Attorney General
165 Capitol Ave.
Hartford, CT 06106
Tel: (860) 808-5030
Fax: (860) 808-5391
Amy.Taylor@ct.gov
Nicole.Demers@ct.gov

Counsel for the State of Connecticut

Dated: May 21, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR CONNECTICUT

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Connecticut (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: **2/17/2026**

Signature: Marjorie Sozanski

Name: Marjorie Sozanski

Position/Title: Supervisory Assistant State's Attorney

Director of the Connecticut Medicaid Fraud Control Unit


Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: **2/18/26** Signature: John Jakubowski

Digitally signed by John Jakubowski
DN: cn=John Jakubowski, o=Department of Social Services, ou=Quality Assurance, email=john.jakubowski@ct.gov, c=US
Date: 2026.02.19 11:24:59 -05'00'

Name: John Jakubowski

Position/Title: Director of Quality Assurance - DSS



Adam Gitlin
Chief, Antitrust and Nonprofit
Enforcement Section
400 6th St. NW, 9th Fl.
Washington, DC 20001
Tel: (202) 442-9864
Adam.Gitlin@dc.gov

Counsel for District of Columbia

Dated: 2/23/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the District of Columbia (District) regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the District have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the District and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/5/2026 Signature: Lavan Griffith

Name: LaVan Griffith

Position/Title: Assistant Inspector General-Director, MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/5/26

Signature: [signature]

Name: Sheryl Johnson

Position/Title: General Counsel, DHCF

Michael A. Undorf
Deputy Attorney General
Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French St., 5th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 683-8816
michael.undorf@delaware.gov

Counsel for the State of Delaware

Dated: May 20, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR DELAWARE

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Delaware (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/16/26

Signature: _____

Name: Stephen McDonald

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Delaware Department of Justice

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/9/2026

Signature: DocuSigned by: Andrew Wilson 35F947C98C8B499...

Name: Andrew Wilson

Position/Title: Director

JAMES UTHMEIER
Attorney General
State of Florida

By: /s/ Lizabeth A. Brady
Lizabeth A. Brady
Director, Antitrust Division
Timothy Fraser
Special Counsel
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
Telephone: (850) 414-3300
Facsimile: (850) 488-9134

Counsel for State of Florida

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR FLORIDA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Florida (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 5/26/26

Signature: 

Name: Ryan Newman

Position/Title: Chief Deputy Attorney General

State of Florida

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 5/22/2026

Signature: 

Name: Brian Meyer

Position/Title: Deputy Secretary for Medicaid

Florida Agency for Health Care Administration

STATE OF GEORGIA

/s/ Logan Winkles
Christopher Carr, Attorney General
Logan Winkles, Deputy Attorney General
Ron Stay, Sr. Asst. Attorney General
Charles Thimmesch, Sr. Asst. Attorney General
Office of the Georgia Attorney General
40 Capitol Sq. SW
Atlanta, GA 30334
(404) 458-3626
cthimmesch@law.ga.gov

Attorneys for the State of Georgia

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR
Georgia

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Georgia (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/2/2026

Signature: Jim Mooney

Name: Jim Mooney

Director, Medicaid Fraud and Patient Protection Division

Georgia Department of Law

Position/Title:

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/27/2026

Signature: *Melanie Simon*

Name: Melanie Simon

Position/Title: General Counsel

Georgia Department of Community Health

PLAINTIFF STATE OF IDAHO
RAÚL R. LABRADOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: */s/ John K. Olson*

John K. Olson
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Office of the Attorney General
Consumer Protection Division
954 West Jefferson Street, 2nd Floor
Boise, Idaho 83702
(208) 332-3549
john.olson@ag.idaho.gov

Counsel for the State of Idaho

Dated: May 22, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR IDAHO

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Idaho (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: **03/04/2026**


Signature: 

Name: Ashley Klenski

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Office of Idaho Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/27/26 Signature: 

Name: Sasha O'Connell

Position/Title: Deputy Director, Division of Medicaid

Idaho Department of Health and Welfare

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS
KWAME RAOUL
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Brian M. Yost
Brian M. Yost
Supervising Attorney
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
115 S. LaSalle St.
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Tel: (872) 276-3598
Brian.Yost@ilag.gov

Counsel for the Plaintiff State of Illinois

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR ILLINOIS

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Illinois (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/9/26

Signature: Heather D'Orazio

Name: Heather D'Orazio

Position/Title: Director, IL MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/6/2026

Signature: Elizabeth M. Whitehorn by Cassidy Geon

Name: Elizabeth M. Whitehorn

Position/Title: Director, IL Department of Healthcare and Family Services

PLAINTIFF STATE OF INDIANA

THEODORE E. ROKITA
INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: */s/ Tamara Weaver*
Tamara Weaver
Deputy Attorney General
Indiana Government Center South – 5th Fl.
302 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770
Phone: (317) 234-7122
Fax: (317) 232-7979
Email: Tamara.Weaver@atg.in.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Indiana

Dated: March 23, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR INDIANA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Indiana (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: **5.19.2026**

Signature: *Matthew Whitmire*

Name: Matthew G. Whitmire

Position/Title: MFCU Director & Chief Counsel

Office of the Indiana Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: __05.19.26__

Signature: 

Name: Audrey Frenzel

Position/Title: Director of Medicaid

Indiana Family and Social Services Administration

/s/ Noah Goerlitz
Noah Goerlitz
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Iowa Attorney General
1305 E. Walnut St.
Des Moines, IA 50319
(515) 725-1018
noah.goerlitz@ag.iowa.gov

Counsel for the State of Iowa

Dated: February 19, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR IOWA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Iowa (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 02/23/2026

Signature: Tricia Dieleman

Name: Tricia Dieleman

Position/Title: Assistant Attorney General for the Iowa MFCU

Iowa Attorney General's Office & Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 02/20/2026

Signature: 

Name: Lee Grossman

Position/Title: Medicaid Director

Iowa Health and Human Services

/s/Christopher Teters
Christopher Teters, KS No. 27248
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Kansas Attorney General, Kris. W. Kobach
120 SW 10th. Ave., Fl. 2,
Topeka, KS 66612
(785) 368-8429
chris.teters@ag.ks.gov

Counsel for the State of Kansas

Dated: June 9, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR KANSAS

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Kansas (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Signature: Gregory T. Benefiel

Email: Greg.Benefiel@ag.ks.gov

Gregory T. Benefiel, Director
Medicaid Fraud and Abuse Division
Office of the Kanas Attorney General
120 SW 10th Avenue
Topeka, Kansas 66612

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Signature: Shon D. Qualseth
Shon D. Qualseth (Mar 5, 2026 10:19:12 CST)

Email: shon.qualseth@ks.gov

Shon D. Qualseth, General Counsel
Kansas Dept. of Health & Environment
1000 SW Jackson, Suite 560
Topeka, Kansas 66612

MFCU and SSA Signature Page -- Glenmark-1302 FINAL

Final Audit Report 2026-03-05

Created:	2026-03-02
By:	Greg Benefiel (Greg.Benefiel@ag.ks.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAN9WXHyr7AOcnY2jbYoBlVMimF50JesJ7

"MFCU and SSA Signature Page -- Glenmark-1302 FINAL" History

Document created by Greg Benefiel (Greg.Benefiel@ag.ks.gov)
2026-03-02 - 8:59:04 PM GMT

Document e-signed by Greg Benefiel (Greg.Benefiel@ag.ks.gov)
Signature Date: 2026-03-02 - 9:01:57 PM GMT - Time Source: server

Document emailed to shon.qualseth@ks.gov for signature
2026-03-02 - 9:02:00 PM GMT

Email viewed by shon.qualseth@ks.gov
2026-03-05 - 4:18:16 PM GMT

Signer shon.qualseth@ks.gov entered name at signing as Shon D. Qualseth
2026-03-05 - 4:19:10 PM GMT

Document e-signed by Shon D. Qualseth (shon.qualseth@ks.gov)
Signature Date: 2026-03-05 - 4:19:12 PM GMT - Time Source: server

Agreement completed.
2026-03-05 - 4:19:12 PM GMT

Adobe Acrobat Sign

Commonwealth of Kentucky

S/Jonathan E. Farmer
Jonathan E. Farmer
Deputy Executive Director of Consumer Protection
Office of the Attorney General of Kentucky
1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200
Frankfort, KY 40601
Tel: 502-696-5448
Fax: 502-573-8317
Jonathan.Farmer@ky.gov

Attorney for the Commonwealth of Kentucky

Dated: 05/13/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR KENTUCKY

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Kentucky (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 03/06/2026

Signature: Matt Kleinert

Name: Matthew Kleinert

Position/Title: Executive Director

Kentucky MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 03/06/26

Signature: Lisa D. Lee

Name: Lisa D. Lee

Position/Title: Commissioner

Kentucky Department for Medicaid Services

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE
AARON M. FREY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Christina M. Moylan
Christina M. Moylan
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Maine Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Tel: (207) 626-8800
christina.moylan@maine.gov

Counsel for the Plaintiff State of Maine

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MAINE

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Maine (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:


> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/2/2026



William R. Savage
Assistant Attorney General, Director, Healthcare Crimes Unit
MAINE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MAINE

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Maine (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: _____ Signature: _____

Name: _____

Position/Title: _____

_____

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/2/2026 Signature: Sara Gagné-Holmes

Name: Sara Gagné-Holmes

Position/Title: Commissioner

Maine Dept. of Health + Human Svs.

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MARYLAND:

ANTHONY G. BROWN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/Schonette J. Walker
Schonette J. Walker
Assistant Attorney General
Chief, Antitrust Division
200 Saint Paul Place
19th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
410.576.6473
swalker@oag.maryland.gov
Counsel for Plaintiff State
Maryland

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MARYLAND

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Maryland (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/11/2026

Signature: 

Name: W. Zak Shirley

Position/Title: Director

Maryland Medicaid Fraud and Vulnerable Victims Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: **3/11/2026**

Signature: 

Name: Meena Seshamani, MD, PhD

Position/Title: Secretary of Health

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL,
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Anthony Mariano
Anthony Mariano, MA No. 688559
Chief, Antitrust Division
Jennifer E. Greaney, MA No. 643337
Deputy Chief, Antitrust Division
Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 18th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
Tel: (617) 963-2981
jennifer.greaney@mass.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Dated: June 9, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MASSACHUSETTS

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/5/26

Signature: 

Name: Kevin Lownds

Position/Title: Division Chief

Medicaid Fraud Division

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/3/2026

Signature:

Name: Dr. Kiame Mahaniah

Position/Title: Secretary

Executive Office of Health and Human Services

FOR PLAINTIFF PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN:

DANA NESSEL
Attorney General of Michigan

SCOTT A. MERTENS
Section Head
Corporate Oversight Division
MertensS@michigan.gov

JONATHAN S. COMISH
Assistant Attorney General
Corporate Oversight Division
ComishJ@michigan.gov

LEANN D. SCOTT
Assistant Attorney General
Corporate Oversight Division
ScottL21@michigan.gov

Michigan Department of Attorney General
525 W Ottawa St.
Lansing, MI 48933
Telephone: 517-335-7622

Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of Michigan

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MICHIGAN

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Michigan (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 05/18/2026 Signature: T. Clair

Name: Timothy C. Erickson

Position/Title: First Assistant

Health Care Fraud Division – MI Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 5/18/26

Signature: Meghan E. Groen

Name: Meghan E. Groen

Position/Title: Chief Deputy Director

Health Services

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MINNESOTA

KEITH ELLISON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Jon M. Woodruff
Jon M. Woodruff
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Minnesota Attorney General
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 600
Saint Paul, MN 55101
(651) 300-7425
jon.woodruff@ag.state.mn.us

Counsel for the State of Minnesota

Dated: June 5, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MINNESOTA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Minnesota (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3-2-26

Signature: 

Name: Nicholas Wanka

Position/Title: Director, Minnesota MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/27/26

Signature: 

Name: James H. Clark III

Position/Title: Inspector Generar

Minnesota Department of Human Services

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
LYNN FITCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Tricia L. Beale
Tricia L. Beale, Esq. (MSB #99113)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection Division
Mississippi Attorney General's Office
2555 14th Street
Gulfport, Mississippi 39501
Telephone: 228-386-4404
tricia.beale@ago.ms.gov

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MISSISSIPPI

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of MISSISSIPPI (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 6/19/26 Signature: Marlin A. Miller

Name: MARLIN A. MILLER

Position/Title: Director MFCU

Mississippi Attorney General's Office

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 6/19/2026

Signature: Cindy Bradshaw

Name: Cindy Bradshaw

Position/Title: Executive Director

Mississippi Division of Medicaid

STATE OF MONTANA
AUSTIN KNUDSEN
Attorney General

Anna Schneider
Special Assistant Attorney General, Senior Counsel
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTIC
OFFICE OF CONSUMER PROTECTION
215 N. Sanders Street 59601
(406) 444-4500
anna.schneider@mt.gov

Counsel for the State of Montana

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR MONTANA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Montana (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/20/2026

Signature: _____

Name: Jacob Griffith

Position/Title: Director

Montana Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

BY: MICHAEL T. HILGERS
Nebraska Attorney General

BY: */s/Justin C. McCully*
Justin C. McCully
Assistant Attorney General
Nebraska Attorney General's Office
1445 K. St. Rm. 2115
Lincoln, NE 68509-8920
Telephone: (402) 471-2682
Fax: (402) 471-4725
Justin.mccully@nebraska.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Nebraska

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR NEBRASKA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of NEBRASKA (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2.13.2026

Signature: _____

Name: D Mark Collins

Position/Title: Assistant Attorney General
Nebraska Dept of Justice

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2-24-2026

Signature: [signature]

Name: Drew Gonshorowski

Position/Title: Director, MLTC

PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEVADA:

AARON D. FORD

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: */s/ Lucas J. Tucker*

Lucas J Tucker
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Nevada Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection
8945 West Russell Road, Suite 204
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
(702) 486-3256
ltucker@ag.nv.gov

Counsel for the State of Nevada

Date: May 14, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR
Nevada

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Nevada (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 5/15/26

Signature: Andrew Schulke

Name: Andrew Schulke

Position/Title: Chief Deputy Attorney General

MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: **05/15/26**

Signature: S Weeks

Name: Stacie Weeks

Position/Title: Director

Glenmark

JOHN M. FORMELLA
Attorney General

/s/Alexandra C. Sosnowski
Alexandra C. Sosnowski
Senior Assistant Attorney General
New Hampshire Department of Justice
Consumer Protection and Antitrust Bureau
One Granite Place South
Concord, N.H. 03301
(603) 271-2678
Alexandra.C.Sosnowski@doj.nh.gov

Counsel for the State of New Hampshire

Dated: 2/27/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR NEW HAMPSHIRE

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of New Hampshire (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/13/26 Signature: _____

Name: Charles Bucca

Position/Title: Director, NH MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/6/26

Signature: Henry D. Lipman

Name: Henry D. Lipman

Position/Title: Medicaid Director

JENNIFER DAVENPORT
Attorney General of New Jersey
By: /s/ Yale A. Leber
Yale A. Leber
Deputy Attorney General
New Jersey Attorney General's Office
25 Market Street, P.O. Box 106
Trenton, NJ 08625
Telephone: (862) 381-4159
E-Mail: yale.leber@law.njoag.gov

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR NEW JERSEY

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of New Jersey (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/18/2026

Signature: 

Name: Al Garcia

Position/Title: Interim Insurance Fraud Prosecutor
Office of the Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/4/2026

Signature: Gregory Woods

Name: Gregory Woods

Position/Title: Assistant Commissioner
Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services
Department of Human Services

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW MEXICO
RAÚL TORREZ
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Anthony R. Juzaitis
Anthony R. Juzaitis
Assistant Attorney General
408 Galisteo Street
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Tel: 505-651-7565
ajuzaitis@nmdoj.gov

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR NEW MEXICO

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of New Mexico (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/4/26

Signature: _____


Name: Jessica Randall

Position/Title: Director

New Mexico Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/4/26

Signature: 

Name: Rose Bryan

Position/Title: Chief General Counsel

New Mexico Health Care Authority fka New Mexico Human Services Department

New York's signature of the Glenmark settlement

Respectfully submitted

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of the State of New York

CHRISTOPHER D'ANGELO
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Economic Justice Division

ELINOR R. HOFFMANN
Chief, Antitrust Bureau
AMY MCFARLANE
Deputy Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau

___/s/ Robert L. Hubbard_____
ROBERT L. HUBBARD
SAAMI ZAIN
ISABELLA PITT
BENJAMIN COLE
Assistant Attorneys General
28 Liberty Street, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10005
212 416-8267
Robert.Hubbard@ag.ny.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Pursuant to this settlement agreement ("Agreement"), the New York State Attorney General will recommend that the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General NOT exercise its authority to impose permissive exclusion on Bausch based on the covered Conduct, as defined in the Agreement.

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) for the State of New York (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:


> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By its signature below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit has reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 7-6-26

Signature: 

Name: Amy Held

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Office of the Attorney General of New York State

PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
JEFF JACKSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Francisco Benzoni
Francisco Benzoni
Special Deputy Attorney General
Kimberly D'Arruda
Special Deputy Attorney General
Director of Technology, Healthcare, and Antitrust Section
North Carolina Department of Justice
Consumer Protection Division
114 West Edenton Street
Raleigh, NC 27603
Telephone: (919) 716-6000
fbenzoni@ncdoj.gov
kdarruda@ncdoj.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of North Carolina

Dated: 05/13/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR
North Carolina

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of North Carolina (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/16/2026 Signature: F. Edward Kirby Jr.

Name: F. Edward Kirby Jr.

Position/Title: Director, NCDOJ, Medicaid Investigations Division

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 02/23/26 | 4:56 PM EST


Signature: DocuSigned by: Jay Ludlam 06565C1C2A8F4C8...

Name: Jay Ludlam

Position/Title: Deputy Secretary, NC Medicaid

NCDHHS, Division of Health Benefits

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
Drew H. Wrigley
Attorney General



Elin S. Alm
Assistant Attorney General
Director, Consumer Protection & Antitrust Division
Office of Attorney General
1720 Burlington Drive, Suite C
Bismarck, ND 58504-7736
Tel: (701) 328-5570
ealm@nd.gov

Counsel for North Dakota

Dated: February 7, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR NORTH DAKOTA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of North Dakota (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:


> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: April 15, 2026

Signature: 

Name: Jessica J. Binder

Position/Title: Director – Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Assistant Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated:
April 15, 2026

Signature: /s/ J. Patrick Traynor

Name: J. Patrick Traynor

Position/Title: Interim Commissioner

ND Department of Health & Human Services

COMMONWEALTH OF THE
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

/s/ Stephen Anson
Stephen T. Anson
Assistant Attorney General
CNMI Office of the Attorney General
2nd Floor Hon. Juan A. Sablan Mem. Bldg.
Caller Box 10007
Capitol Hill
Saipan, MP 96950
Tele: (670) 237-7500
Fax: (670) 664-2349
stephen_anson@cnmioag.org

Counsel for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF OHIO:

DAVE YOST
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Edward J. Olszewski

Edward J. Olszewski, Assistant Section Chief, Antitrust
Office of Ohio Attorney General
30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614.466.4328
Edward.Olszewski@OhioAGO.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Ohio

Dated: March 19, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR
[STATE]
OHIO

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of [STATE] (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

OHIO

[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/4/26 Signature: _____

Name: Ben Karrasch

Position/Title: Director. Ohio MFCU

Secction Chief HCF Ohio AGO

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/4/2026

Signature: 

Name: Scott Partika

Position/Title: Director

s/ Christopher J. Campbell
Christopher J. Campbell (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Oklahoma Attorney General
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Telephone: (405) 522-0858
Email: Chris.Campbell@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Oklahoma

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR STATE OF OKLAHOMA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Oklahoma (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: March 6, 2026

Signature: 


Name: Jeb Joseph

Position/Title: Deputy Director

Oklahoma Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/5/2026

Signature: 

Name: Melissa Miller

Position/Title: State Medicaid Director/Deputy Chief of Staff

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF OREGON

DAN RAYFIELD
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE
STATE OF OREGON

s/ Gina Ko
Gina Ko
Assistant Attorney General
Economic Justice Section
Oregon Department of Justice
100 SW Market Street
Portland, OR 97201
Tel: (971) 673-1880
Fax: (503) 378-5017
gina.ko@doj.oregon.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Oregon

Dated 05/14/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR OREGON

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Oregon (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: **3/17/2026**


Signature: 

Name: Sheen Y. Wu

Position/Title: Director / AIC

Oregon DOJ MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3.09.2026 Signature: 

Name: Fritz Jenkins

Position/Title: Administrator for Program Integrity

Oregon Health Authority

/s/ Tracy W. Wertz
Tracy W. Wertz
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Antitrust Section
Joseph S. Betsko
Assistant Chief Deputy Attorney General
Jessica Kuehn
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General
Strawberry Square, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120
Phone: (717) 787-4530
Fax: (717) 787-1190
twertz@attorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR PENNSYLVANIA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Pennsylvania (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/5/26 Signature: 

Name: Heather M. Albright

Position/Title: Chief Deputy Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/5/2026 Signature: Karen L Fickes

Name: Karen L. Fickes

Position/Title: Director

Department of Human Services
Bureau of Program Integrity

GLENMARK STATE AG SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO:

LOURDES L. GÓMEZ-TORRES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Tania Fernández-Medero
TANIA L. FERNÁNDEZ-MEDERO
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
ANTITRUST DIVISION
Puerto Rico Department of Justice
P.O. Box 9020192
San Juan, P.R. 00902-0192
Phone: (787) 721-2900, Ext. 1204
Email: tfernandez@justicia.pr.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

Dated: May 22, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR PUERTO RICO

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of PUERTO RICO (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 03/06/26

Signature: _____

Name: Luis Freire

Position/Title: Director
PR MFCU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/6/2025 Signature: [signature]

Name: María d. María Rucós

Position/Title: Program Integrity Director
PR Medicaid Program

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF RHODE ISLAND
PETER F. NERONHA
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Alex Carnevale
Alex Carnevale
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Rhode Island
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
Tel: (860) 287 7156
acarnevale@riag.ri.gov

Counsel for the Plaintiff
State of Rhode Island

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR
Rhode Island


As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Rhode Island (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/9/2026 Signature: 

Name: Andrea M. Mauro

Position/Title: Director - RIAG Medicaid Fraud Control Unit
Office of the Rhode Island Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/6/26

Signature: Jane E Morgan Digitally signed by Jane E Morgan Date: 2026.03.06 21:00:26 -05'00'

Name: Jane E. Morgan, Esq.

Position/Title: Medicaid Program Deputy Director

Legal Compliance and Program Integrity

FOR PLAINTIFF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Alan Wilson
Attorney General of South Carolina

/s/Mary Frances G. Jowers
Mary Frances G. Jowers
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
South Carolina Office of the Attorney General
PO Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
803-734-3996
mfjowers@scag.gov

Counsel for the State of South Carolina

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR SOUTH CAROLINA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of SOUTH CAROLINA (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:


> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/24/2026

Signature: 

Name: Stephanie G. Opet

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

South Carolina Office of the Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/24/26 Signature: 

Name: Sarah Hearn-Bailey

Position/Title: Assistant General Counsel

South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

PLAINTIFF STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
MARTY J. JACKLEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Amanda Miiller
Amanda Miiller
Deputy Attorney General
South Dakota Office of the Attorney General
1302 East SD Highway 1889, Suite 1
Pierre, SD 57501-8501
Telephone: (605) 773-3215
Email: amanda.miiller@state.sd.us

Counsel for Plaintiff State of South Dakota

Dated: February 27, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR SOUTH DAKOTA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of South Dakota (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 02-27-26 Signature: Stephen Gemar

Name: Stephen Gemar

Position/Title: MFCU Director

South Dakota Office of the Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated:
02/27/2026

Signature:

Name: Heather Petermann

Position/Title: Medicaid Director

Dept. of Social Services, Division of Medical Services

State of Tennessee
Jonathan Skrmetti
Attorney General

Austin C. Ostiguy

Austin C. Ostiguy
Assistant Attorney General
Daniel Lynch
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37202
Tel: (615) 532-7271
Austin.Ostiguy@ag.tn.gov
Counsel for Tennessee
Dated: 5/22/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR TENNESSEE

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of TENNESSEE (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2-20-2026 Signature: _____

Name: Gene Stegall

Position/Title: Director, Tennessee Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3.5.26

Signature: 

Name: Stephen Smith

Position/Title: Director

Executed April 1, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF UTAH
DEREK E. BROWN
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL



Marie W.L. Martin
Division Director,
Antitrust & Data Privacy Division
Office of the Attorney General of Utah
including as counsel for the Utah Division of Consumer Protection
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 140830
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0830
Tel: 801-366-0375
Fax: 801-366-0378
mwmartin@agutah.gov

Attorneys for the State of Utah

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR UTAH

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Utah (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: Feb 19, 2026

Signature: Kaye Lynn Wootton
Kaye Lynn Wootton (Feb 19, 2026 20:01:02 MST)

Name: Kaye Lynn Wootton

Position/Title: Director

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, Utah AG

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: Feb 25, 2026

Signature: 

Name: Julie Ewing

Position/Title: Utah State Medicaid Director

Utah Department of Health and Human Services

/s/ Jill S. Abrams
Jill S. Abrams
Assistant Attorney General
Vermont Attorney General
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-1106
Jill.abrams@vermont.gov
Counsel for the State of Vermont

Dated: February 26, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR VERMONT

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Vermont (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: March 5, 2026 Signature: Elizabeth L. Anderson

Name: Elizabeth L .Anderson

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid Fraud and Residential Abuse Unit

Office of the Vermont Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: **3/5/2026**___ Signature: DaShawn Groves Digitally signed by DaShawn Groves Date: 2026.03.05 14:23:41 -05'00'

Name: DaShawn Groves

Position/Title: Commissioner

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
JAY JONES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Tyler T. Henry
Tyler T. Henry
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Tel: (804) 692-0485
THenry@oag.state.va.us

Counsel for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the Commonwealth of Virginia (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/4/2026

Signature: Jill Costen


Name: Jill S. Costen

Position/Title: Director and Chief

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2/24/2026

Signature: 

Name: Jeffrey Lunardi

Position/Title: Interim Director

Department of Medical Assistance Services

GORDON C. RHEA, ESQ
ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY: *Christopher M. Timmons, Esq.*
CHRISTOPHER M. TIMMONS, ESQ.
Chief, Civil Division
Virgin Islands Department of Justice
6151 Estate La Reine
Kingshill, VI, 00851
christopher.timmons@doj.vi.gov
(340) 773-0295

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 5/21/2026

Signature: Gary A. Smith

Name: Gary Smith

Position/Title: Medicaid Director

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR [USVI]

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of [USVI] (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 5/21/2026

Signature: _____

Name: Julita de Leon

Position/Title: Director MFCU

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON

NICHOLAS W. BROWN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/ Paula Pera C.
Paula Pera C.
Holly A. Williams
Assistant Attorneys General, Antitrust Division
Washington State Office of the Attorney General
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104-3188
Tel: (206) 464-7744
paula.pera@atg.wa.gov
holly.williams@atg.wa.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington

Dated 03/19/2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR WASHINGTON

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Washington (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 3/24/26

Signature: Larissa Payne


Name: Larissa Payne

Position/Title: Director

Washington Medicaid Fraud & Abuse Division

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 03/24/2026

Signature: 

Name: Trinity Wilson

Position/Title: Interim Medicaid Director

Health Care Authority

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
JOHN B. MCCUSKEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Douglas L. Davis
Douglas L. Davis
Senior Assistant Attorney General
West Virginia Attorney General's Office
Consumer Protection and Antitrust Division
P.O. Box 1789
Charleston, WV 25326
Telephone: (304) 558-8986
douglas.l.davis@wvago.gov

Counsel for the Plaintiff State of West Virginia

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR WEST VIRGINIA

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of West Virginia (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/4/2026 Signature: [signature]

Name: Lauren E. Plymale

Position/Title: Director, WV Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, WV Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 3/4/26

Signature: Christy Donohue

Name: Christy Donohue

Position/Title: Commissioner

WV DoHS / BMS

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WISCONSIN
JOSHUA L. KAUL
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/*Caitlin M. Madden*
Caitlin M. Madden
Assistant Attorney General
Wisconsin Department of Justice
17 West Main Street
Post Office Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857
(608) 267-1311
caitlin.madden@wisdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of Wisconsin

Dated: April 27, 2026

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR WISCONSIN

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Wisconsin (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc*. et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: **_2/16/26_____**

Signature: Daniel Hess

Name: Daniel Hess

Position/Title: AAG - Director of MFCEAU

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2-27-2026

Signature: 

Name: Anthony J. Baize

Position/Title: Inspector General

Wisconsin Dept. of Health Services

PLAINTIFF STATE OF WYOMING
KEITH G. KAUTZ
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Cameron W. Geeting
Cameron W. Geeting
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection & Antitrust Unit (CPAU)
Wyoming Attorney General's Office
2320 Capitol Avenue
Cheyenne, WY 82002
(307) 777-3795
cameron.geeting1@wyo.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Wyoming

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNIT AND THE SINGLE STATE AGENCY FOR WYOMING

As conditioned herein, this Signature Page shall serve as the Statement of Review and Approval of the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) and the Single State Agency for Medicaid (SSA) for the State of Wyoming (the "State") regarding the Settlement Agreement:

> **[B]y and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA, ("Glenmark") and the States, by and through their respective Attorneys General [with respect to] claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.* et al, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.)**

By their respective signatures below, the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and the Single State Agency for Medicaid for the State have reviewed the Agreement and, with respect to the release of Medicaid claims (as particularly specified in, and as limited by, the Agreement), approves the Agreement.

This approval, as limited herein, is conditional upon Execution of the Agreement by the State and the other Parties to the Agreement, and upon the Agreement's approval by a court of proper jurisdiction.

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Dated: 2/17/2026

Signature: _____

Name: Travis J. Kirchhefer

Position/Title: Director & Sr. Assistant Attorney General

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit
Office of the Wyoming Attorney General

Single State Agency for Medicaid

Dated: 2-23-2026 Signature: 

Name: Andrew Chapin

Position/Title: Program Integrity Section Manager

Division of Healthcare Financing,
Wyoming Department of Health

Appendix A

State Drugs at Issue

Acetazolamide
Adapalene
Alclometasone Dipropionate
Amiloride HCL/HCTZ
Ammonium Lactate
Amoxicillin/Clavulanate
Amphetamine/Dextroamphetamine
Azithromycin
Baclofen
Benazepril HCTZ
Betamethasone Dipropionate
Betamethasone Dipropionate Augmented
Betamethasone Valerate
Bethanechol Chloride
Bromocriptine Mesylate
Budesonide
Bumetanide
Buspirone Hydrochloride
Cabergoline
Calcipotriene Betamethasone Dipropionate Ointment
Calcipotriene Solution
Capecitabine
Carbamazepine
Cefdinir
Cefpodoxime Proxetil Oral Suspension
Cefpodoxime Proxetil Tablets
Cefprozil
Celecoxib
Cephalexin (Cefalexin)
Chlorpromazine HCL
Cholestyramine
Ciclopirox
Cimetidine
Ciprofloxacin HCL Tablet
Clarithromycin
Clemastine Fumarate
Clindamycin Phosphate
Clobetasol
Clomipramine HCL

Clonidine TTS
Clotrimazole
Cyproheptadine HCL
Desmopressin Acetate
Desogestrel and Ethinyl Estradiol [Kariva]
Desonide
Desoximetasone
Dexmethylphenidate HCL [Focalin]
Dextroamphetamine Sulfate ("Dex Sulfate")
Diclofenac Potassium
Dicloxacillin Sodium
Diflunisal
Diltiazem HCL
Disopyramide Phosphate
Doxazosin Mesylate
Doxycycline Hyclate
Doxycycline Monohydrate
Drospirenone and Ethinyl Estradiol
Econazole
Enalapril Maleate
Entecavir
Epitol
Eplerenone
Erythromycin
Estazolam
Estradiol
Estradiol and Norethindrone Acetate [Mimvey]
Ethambutol HCL
Ethinyl Estradiol and Levonorgestrel [Portia and Jolessa]
Ethosuximide
Etodolac
Fenofibrate
Fluconazole
Fluocinolone Acetonide
Fluocinonide
Fluoxetine HCL
Flurbiprofen
Flutamide
Fluticasone Propionate
Fluvastatin Sodium
Fosinopril HCTZ
Gabapentin
Glimepiride
Glipizide-Metformin

Glyburide
Glyburide-Metformin
Griseofulvin
Halobetasol Propionate
Haloperidol
Hydrocortisone Valerate
Hydroxyurea
Hydroxyzine Pamoate
Imiquimod
Irbesartan
Isoniazid
Ketoconazole
Ketoprofen
Ketorolac Tromethamine
Labetalol HCL
Lamivudine/Zidovudine
Latanoprost
Leflunomide
Levothyroxine
Lidocaine Ointment
Loperamide HCL
Medroxyprogesterone
Meprobamate
Methazolamide
Methotrexate Sodium
Methylphenidate
Metronidazole
Moexipril HCL
Moexipril HCL HCTZ
Mometasone
Nabumetone
Nadolol
Nafcillin
Niacin
Nimodipine
Nitrofurantoin (Macrocrystalline)
Norethindrone Acetate
Norethindrone and Ethinyl Estradiol [Balziva]
Nortriptyline Hydrochloride
Nystatin
Nystatin/Triamcinolone
Omega-3-Acid Ethyl Esters
Oxacillin
Oxaprozin

Oxybutynin Chloride
Paricalcitol
Paromomycin
Penicillin VK
Pentoxifylline
Phenytoin Sodium
Pioglitazone-Metformin
Piroxicam
Pravastatin
Prazosin HCL
Prochlorperazine
Propranolol
Raloxifene HCL
Ranitidine HCL
Tacrolimus
Tamoxifen Citrate
Temozolomide
Terconazole
Theophylline
Tizanidine HCL
Tobramycin Sulfate
Tolmetin Sodium
Tolterodine Tartate
Topiramate
Triamcinolone Acetonide
Trifluoperazine HCL
Valsartan HCTZ
Verapamil
Warfarin Sodium
Zoledronic Acid

Appendix B

Alaska	• Attorney General for the State of Alaska • Alaska Department of Health (Medicaid) • Alaska Department of Corrections • All other executive branch state agencies that purchased drugs
Arizona	• Attorney General for the State of Arizona • Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) (Medicaid)
California	• Attorney General for the State of California • California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS – Medicaid) • California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) to the extent that its clients are state agencies (local entities that are clients of CalPERS are excluded). • California State University (CSU) • California Department of State Hospitals (DSH) California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) • University of California (UC) • California Department of General Services • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Colorado	• Attorney General for the State of Colorado • Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Connecticut	• Attorney General for the State of Connecticut • Department of Social Services (as administrator of Medicaid) • Department of Mental Health and

	Addiction Services • Department of Developmental Services • Department of Public Health • Department of Veterans Affairs • Department of Corrections • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Delaware	• Attorney General for the State of Delaware • Delaware Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance (Medicaid)
District of Columbia	• Attorney General for the District of Columbia • Department of Health Care Finance (Medicaid)
Florida	• Attorney General for the State of Florida • Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (Medicaid) • Department of Management Services • Department of Children and Families • Department of Corrections • Department of Health • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Georgia	• Attorney General for the State of Georgia • Georgia Department of Community Health (Medicaid)
Idaho	• Attorney General for the State of Idaho • Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Medicaid (Medicaid) • Idaho State Entities with MMCAP purchases, as listed in the MMCAP data production when filtered for Idaho.
Illinois	• Attorney General for the State of Illinois • Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (Medicaid)

	• Department of Central Management Services Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs (where public universities and health systems are not State Entities)
Indiana	• Attorney General for the State of Indiana • Indiana Family and Social Services Administration (Medicaid) • Department of Health • Department of Administration • Personnel Department • State Police • Veterans' Home • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Iowa	• Iowa Office of the Attorney General • Iowa Department of Health and Human Services (Medicaid)

Kansas	• Attorney General for the State of Kansas
	• Kansas Department of Health and Environment (Medicaid)
	• Kansas Division of Health Care Finance
	• Kansas State Employee Health Plan (Kansas Department of Administration),
	• Kansas State Entities with MMCAP purchases:
	  ○ (1) Adair Acute Care Pharmacy, Osawatomie State Hospital
	  ○ (2) Emporia State University-Student Health
	  ○ (3) Fort Hays State University-Student Health
	  ○ (4) Kansas Neurological Institute
	  ○ (5) Kansas Soldiers Home
	  ○ (6) Kansas State School for the Blind

	○ (7) Kansas State School for the Deaf ○ (8) Kansas State University-VMTH ○ (9) Kansas State University- Lafen ○ (10) Kansas Department of Health & Environment 340B STD ○ (11) Kansas Department of Health & Environment 340B TB ○ (12) Larned Stated Hospital ○ (13) Osawatomic State Hospital ○ (14) Parsons State Hospital & Training Center ○ (15) Pharmacy Practice Integrated Lab, University of Kansas ○ (16) Pittsburgh State University 340B ○ (17) Pittsburgh State University, Student Health ○ (18) Rainbow Mental Health Facility ○ (19) Watkins Health Center Pharmacy, University of Kansas ○ (20) Wichita Pharmacy Skills Lab, University of Kansas ○ (21) Wichita State University Student Health)
Kentucky	• Kentucky Office of the Attorney General • Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services (Medicaid)
Maine	• Attorney General for the State of Maine • Maine Department of Health and Human Services Office of MaineCare Services (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs

Maryland	• Maryland Office of the Attorney General • Maryland Department of Human Services (Medicaid)
Massachusetts	• Attorney General for the State of Massachusetts • MassHealth (Medicaid) • State Office of Pharmacy Services (SOPS) • Group Insurance Commission (GIC)
Michigan	Attorney General for the State of Michigan • Michigan Health & Human Services (Medicaid) • Michigan Department of Attorney General • Michigan Department of Health and Human Services • Michigan Department of Corrections • Michigan Civil Service Commission • Michigan Department of Technology, Management, and Budget • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Minnesota	• Office of the Minnesota Attorney General • Minnesota Department of Human Services • Minnesota Department of Corrections • Minnesota Department of Veterans Affairs • Minnesota Management and Budget
Mississippi	• Attorney General for the State of Mississippi • Mississippi Division of Medicaid (Medicaid) • Mississippi Department of Insurance • Mississippi Department of Health • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs during the relevant time period (or from May 1, 2009 to the

	Effective Date)
Montana	• Montana Office of the Attorney General • Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services (Medicaid)
Nebraska	• Nebraska Office of the Attorney General • Department of Health and Human Services (Medicaid) • Department of Administrative Services • Department of Corrections • Department of Veterans' Affairs • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Nevada	• Attorney General for the State of Nevada • Nevada Department of Health & Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (Medicaid) • Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program University of Nevada School of Medicine Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)- Division of Public and Behavioral Health • Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)- Division of Child and Family Services • Department of Health and Human Services- Division of Aging & Disability Services • Nevada Department of Corrections; and • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
New Hampshire	• Attorney General for the State of New Hampshire • New Hampshire Department of Health &

	Human Services (Medicaid)
New Jersey	• Attorney General for the State of New Jersey • State of New Jersey, Department of Human Services, Division of Medical Assistance & Health Services (Medicaid)
New Mexico	• New Mexico Department of Justice • New Mexico Human Services Department (Medicaid) • New Mexico Department of Health • New Mexico State Entities that made MMCAP purchases
New York[1]	• Attorney General for the State of New York • State Department of Health (Medicaid) • MMCAP State Entities, listed in the MMCAP production when you filter for New York. State Entities purchasing through MMCAP include hospitals operated by the State Office of Mental Health
North Carolina	• Attorney General for the State of North Carolina • North Carolina Medicaid, Division of Health Benefits (Medicaid)
North Dakota	• Attorney General for the State of North Dakota • North Dakota Health & Human Services, Medical Services Division (Medicaid)
Northern Mariana Islands	• Office of the Attorney General for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands • Commonwealth Medicaid Center (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or

[1] The definition of Released Claims in Paragraph I.R is specifically modified to reflect that the State of New York does not release or waive its permissive exclusion or debarment authority as to the Bausch Releasees.

	provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Ohio	• Attorney General for the State of Ohio • Ohio Department of Medicaid (Medicaid)
Oklahoma	• Office of the Oklahoma Attorney General • Oklahoma Healthcare Authority (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Oregon	• Attorney General for the State of Oregon • Oregon Health Authority • Health Authority Medicaid Program (Medicaid) Health Authority CAREAssist Program • Health Authority- Oregon Educators Benefit Board & Oregon Public Employees Benefit Board • Department of Corrections • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Pennsylvania	• Attorney General for the State of Pennsylvania • Pennsylvania Department of Human Services (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Puerto Rico	• Attorney General for Puerto Rico • Administración de Seguros de Salud de Puerto Rico • Departamento de Correccion y Rehabilitacion • Puerto Rico Department of Health (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase

	of, generic drugs other than (1) public corporations, and (2) public instrumentalities[2]
Rhode Island	• Rhode Island Office of the Attorney General • Department of Human Services Medicaid Program
South Carolina	•· Attorney General for the State of South Carolina • South Carolina Healthy Connections (Medicaid)

[2] "Public corporation" and "public instrumentality" are defined as follows: Any public instrumentality that offers financial and/or social services to the People of Puerto Rico on behalf of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, but as an independent juridical entity. The definition shall include all the public-private corporation, to wit, any corporation that issues stocks and is organized pursuant to private corporations laws, but is controlled, in whole or in part, by the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The term shall refer, but is not limited to the Electric Power Authority; the Aqueduct and Sewer Authority; the University of Puerto Rico; the Automobile Accident Compensation Administration; the State Insurance Fund Corporation; the Labor Relations Board; the Public Building Authority; the Port Authority; the Government Development Bank; the Industrial Development Company; the Metropolitan Bus Authority; the Health Insurance Administration; the Trade and Export Company; the Motion Picture, Arts, Sciences, and Industry Development Corporation; the Highway and Transportation Authority; the Farm Insurance Corporation; the Rural Development Corporation; the Land Authority; the Land Administration; the Maritime Transport Authority; the Convention Center District Authority; the Solid Waste Authority; the Caribbean Basin Project Financing Authority; the Municipal Finance Agency; the Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical, and Environmental Pollution-Control Facilities Financing Authority; the Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority; the Housing Finance Authority; the Public Finance Corporation; the Economic Development Bank for Puerto Rico; the Corporation for the Revitalization of Urban Centers and Areas; the Right to Work Administration; the National Parks Company; the Corporation of Industries for the Blind, the Mentally Retarded and Other Disabled Persons of Puerto Rico; the Puerto Rico and Caribbean Cardiovascular Center Corporation; the Puerto Rico Medical Services Administration; the National Guard Institutional Trust; the Employment and Training Enterprises Corporation; the Corporation for the Supervision and Insurance of Cooperatives; the Port of the Americas Authority; the Company for the Integral Development of the Cantera Peninsula; the Puerto Rico Conservatory of Music Corporation; the Musical Arts Corporation; the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture; the Martin Pe†a Canal ENLACE Project Corporation; the Authority for the Redevelopment of Land and Facilities of the Roosevelt Roads Naval Station; the Traffic Safety Commission; the Administration for Integral Child Care and Development; Administration; and any other Public Corporations and Instrumentalities that are created and comply with the definition herein."

Tennessee	• Attorney General for the State of Tennessee • Tennessee Department of Finance & Administration, Division of TennCare (Medicaid) • Tennessee Department of Finance & Administration, Division of Benefits Administration • All State Entities that indirectly purchased, paid, and/or provided reimbursement for some or all of the purchase price for any Drugs at Issue, other than for resale, from May 1, 2009 to the Effective Date.
Utah	• Attorney General for the State of Utah • Utah Department of Health & Human Services (Medicaid) • Division of Consumer Protection • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Vermont	• Attorney General for the State of Vermont • Agency of Human Services, Department of Vermont Health Access (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
Virginia	• Attorney General for the State of Virginia • Virginia Department of Medicaid Assistance Services (Medicaid)
Virgin Islands	• Attorney General for the U.S. Virgin Islands

	• Virgin Islands Department of Human Services—Office of Medicaid (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased the drugs at issue, or that provided reimbursement for the purchase of the drugs at issue, during the period that is the subject of this action
Washington	• Attorney General for the State of Washington • Washington State Department of Social and Health Services • Washington State Health Care Authority (Medicaid) • Department of Corrections • Department of Labor and Industries (L&I) • Eastern State Hospital, Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) • Western State Hospital, Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs
West Virginia	• Attorney General for the State of West Virginia • WV Dept. of Health and Human Services, Bureau for Medical Services (Medicaid) • WV Public Employees Insurance Agency
Wisconsin	• Attorney General for the State of Wisconsin • Wisconsin Department of Health Services (Medicaid) • All other State Entities that purchased, or provided reimbursement for the purchase of, generic drugs

Appendix C

GLENMARK COOPERATION AGREEMENT

A. Preamble

1. This Cooperation Agreement (the "Agreement") is made between Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc. USA ("Glenmark"), the Attorneys General, as those terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement between them.

2. The purpose of this Agreement is to set forth the terms and process by which Glenmark will provide substantial cooperation to the Attorneys General in the Actions.

3. Glenmark on the one hand and the Attorneys General on the other hand agree that Glenmark's substantial cooperation pursuant to this Agreement is material to the Settlement Agreement to be entered by them.

B. Scope of Substantial Cooperation

1. Glenmark agrees to use reasonable efforts to assist the Attorneys General to understand data produced by Glenmark, including consulting with technical personnel to address questions posed by the Attorneys' General consultants, and to provide any additional information or data reasonably necessary to understand or clarify the data or otherwise render it usable by the Attorneys General's experts and admissible.

2. The Attorneys General shall have the right to receive, subject to the protective order in the MDL, all documents, interrogatory responses, and responses to requests for admission produced by Glenmark to any other plaintiff in the MDL.

Glenmark likewise shall have the right to receive, subject to the protective order in the MDL and State Actions, all documents, interrogatory responses, and responses to requests for admission produced by the Attorneys General to any other defendant in the State Actions.

3. Glenmark agrees to use reasonable efforts to authenticate and lay the foundation to admit as business records, where applicable, collectively up to one hundred and fifty (150) documents and/or things produced by Glenmark in the Actions (or more if good cause is shown) to confirm the authenticity of the documents produced by Glenmark in the Actions, and to confirm, where applicable, that such documents and data produced by Glenmark qualify as business records, whether by declarations, depositions, hearings and/or trial testimony as may be necessary for the Actions to render such documents and data admissible at trial.

4. By no later than the day upon which the Attorneys General move for final approval of the Settlement, the Parties shall set forth any modifications to the scope of cooperation under this agreement in a separate letter agreement (signed by the counsel identified in this Settlement Agreement) among them to be provided to the Court if the Court so requires, and if so required, to be filed *in camera* with Court permission.

C. No Waiver of Privileges, Evidentiary Protections, or Confidentiality Obligations

5. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, Glenmark may assert where applicable the work product doctrine, the attorney-client privilege, and the common interest privilege (collectively, "Privileged Material") and shall not

disclose any information provided by other defendants pursuant to a common interest agreement. The Attorneys General shall not request disclosure of Privileged Material, and a refusal to provide Privileged Material shall not be deemed a breach of this Agreement by Glenmark. The Attorneys General shall be free to use statements, testimony, materials or information provided under this Agreement in any motion, opposition or other pleading in the Actions or as evidence at trial in this case. The Attorneys General will not otherwise disclose any statements, testimony, materials or information provided under this Agreement to any other party in the Actions, including any other plaintiff, or to any third party unless required by applicable state law or court order. The Attorneys General and Glenmark are permitted to describe orally the scope of cooperation required under this Agreement with counsel for other defendants, but cannot otherwise disclose the information provided under this Agreement.

Appendix D

Offset Amounts for Non-Settling States

State	Offset Amount
Alaska	$19,888.99
Arizona	$227,937.03
California	$1,157,512.80
Colorado	$138,613.31
Connecticut	$232,586.62
Delaware	$32,337.24
District of Columbia	$25,014.57
Florida	$325,294.44
Georgia	$215,156.05
Idaho	$32,881.17
Illinois	$304,018.09
Indiana	$175,723.11
Iowa	$88,802.27
Kansas	$59,382.89
Kentucky	$221,955.54
Louisiana	$164,810.99
Maine	$38,079.72
Maryland	$178,542.48
Massachusetts	$330,985.05
Michigan	$267,282.56
Minnesota	$192,644.13
Mississippi	$90,948.39
Montana	$32,282.83
Nebraska	$42,470.41
Nevada	$47,457.61
New Hampshire	$29,429.06
New Jersey	$241,142.75
New Mexico	$65,653.08
New York	$853,633.06
North Carolina	$323,106.78
North Dakota	$14,550.63
Northern Mariana Islands	$1,684.29
Ohio	$446,157.32
Oklahoma	$96,904.33
Oregon	$118,554.91
Pennsylvania	$396,027.71
Puerto Rico	$120,143.89
Rhode Island	$40,480.92

South Carolina	$118,890.71
South Dakota	$17,555.81
Tennessee	$175,263.54
US Virgin Islands	$1,896.85
Utah	$48,809.29
Vermont	$31,289.33
Virginia	$185,379.43
Washington	$203,591.65
West Virginia	$57,201.69
Wisconsin	$187,197.11
Wyoming	$12,082.98

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

The State of Connecticut, *et al.*	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	**3:16-cv-02056-MPS**
Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., *et al.*	
Defendants.	

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., USA AND THE STATE OF ARIZONA

This Supplemental Settlement Agreement is made and entered into by and among Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA ("Glenmark"), and the State of Arizona, by and through Attorney General Kristin K. Mayes ("Arizona"). Glenmark and Arizona shall collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

WHEREAS, Arizona is prosecuting claims in *Connecticut et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02056 (D. Conn.); *Connecticut et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al*, 3:19-cv-00710-MPS (D. Conn.); and *Connecticut et al v. Sandoz, Inc. et al*, 3:20-cv-00802-MPS (D. Conn.) upon remand from the multidistrict litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, Master Docket No. 16- MD-2724 ("MDL"), including *Connecticut v. Actavis Holdco U.S. Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-03768 (E.D. Pa.) (collectively, the "Actions" or "State Actions");

WHEREAS, Glenmark and Arizona agree fully to the terms of the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT between Glenmark and the States (the "States Settlement Agreement") except as amended below.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exchange for the mutual obligations described below and in the States Settlement Agreement, Glenmark and Arizona hereby enter into this Supplemental Settlement Agreement to modify the terms of the States Settlement Agreement between Glenmark and Arizona on the following terms and conditions:

Glenmark and Arizona agree that the definition of "State Releasors" at Paragraph I.U. shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

I. DEFINITIONS

* * * *

U. "State Releasors" means the Attorneys General and State Entities listed on Appendix B (which include, among other State Entities, each State's Medicaid agency). Notwithstanding the definition of "State Releasors" in the States Settlement Agreement, Glenmark and Arizona acknowledge and agree that portions of the Settlement Payment to be held in escrow in the Settlement Fund pending court approval of the States Settlement Agreement, as described in Section IX, may be distributed to Arizona state agencies other than the Office of the Arizona Attorney General and Arizona's Medicaid agency. Glenmark and Arizona acknowledge and agree that any distribution to or acceptance of funds by any Arizona agency, other than the Office of the Attorney General and Arizona's Medicaid agency, does not represent a binding acknowledgement by or for any of those agencies regarding the adequacy of the payments or a release of such agency's claims, although acceptance of such payment may be grounds for an equitable set-off.

Glenmark and Arizona further agree that Paragraph IV.A. of the States Settlement Agreement shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

IV. RELEASED AND RESOLVED CLAIMS

A. Arizona releases the Glenmark Releasees from all claims that Arizona brought or could have brought against the Glenmark Releasees (except on behalf of Local Entities and on behalf of Eligible Consumers) in the Actions brought by Arizona relating to the drugs specified in the Actions based on the Conduct as alleged, namely, antitrust, consumer protection, fraud or false claims act, "overarching conspiracy," unjust enrichment and disgorgement claims. Except as required by A.R.S. § 41-193(A)(2), the Office of the Arizona Attorney General covenants not to sue the Glenmark Releasees for all claims that Arizona brought or could have brought against other defendants for any other drug for which Arizona asserts a claim in any of the States' Actions based on the Conduct alleged in the States' Actions. Released Claims include, but are not limited to, claims arising out of the Conduct under (1) federal or state antitrust laws, (2) unfair competition or consumer protection laws, (3) any civil or administrative monetary cause of action (including for civil damages and/or civil fines or penalties), (4) any remedies for any claims submitted or caused to be submitted to Arizona's Medicaid program, including under the False Claims Act (codified at 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733) or any Arizona counterpart to the federal False Claims Act, and (5) any other statute or common or equitable law. The claims released and the claims on which Office of the Arizona Attorney General covenant not to sue are collectively referred to as "Released and Resolved Claims."

[SIGNATURES ON NEXT PAGE]

Dated: June 4th, 2026

Robert A. Bernheim, Unit Chief Counsel
Antitrust & Privacy Unit
Office of the Arizona Attorney General
400 W. Congress St., Suite S-315
Tucson, AZ 85701
520-628-6507
Robert.Bernheim@azag.gov

Counsel for State of Arizona

Dated: June ___, 2026

Dimitra Doufekias
Morrison & Foerster LLP
2100 L Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 887-1553
ddoufekias@mofo.com

Counsel for Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA